THE DAVENPORT-HALBERSTAM THEOREM FOR MÖBIUS FUNCTION

STEVE FAN

ABSTRACT. In Chapter 29 of Davenport's classic book [1], it is shown that given any A > 0 we have

$$\sum_{q \le Q} \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} \left| \psi(x;q,a) - \frac{x}{\phi(q)} \right|^2 \ll xQ \log x$$

uniformly for all $x(\log x)^{-A} \leq Q \leq x$, where

$$\psi(x;q,a) := \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} \Lambda(n)$$

and $\Lambda(n)$ is the von Mangolt function. This result is known as the Davenport-Halberstam theorem. In this short note we present a simple proof of the following folklore version for the Möbius function $\mu(n)$ (for instance, see [3, Theorem 2]): for any given A > 0,

$$\sum_{q \le Q} \sum_{a=1}^{q} |M(x;q,a)|^2 = \frac{6}{\pi^2} xQ + O\left(x^2 (\log x)^{-A}\right)$$

holds uniformly for all $x(\log x)^{-A} \le Q \le x$, where

$$M(x;q,a) := \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} \mu(n).$$

The author learned this result from a Number Theory Web Seminar talk given by Robert C. Vaughan in 2022.

In Chapter 29 of Davenport's classic book [1], it is shown that given any A > 0 we have

$$\sum_{q \le Q} \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} \left| \psi(x;q,a) - \frac{x}{\phi(q)} \right|^2 \ll xQ \log x$$

uniformly for all $x(\log x)^{-A} \le Q \le x$, where

$$\psi(x;q,a) := \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ n \equiv a \, (\text{mod } q)}} \Lambda(n)$$

and $\Lambda(n)$ is the von Mangolt function. This result is known as the Davenport-Halberstam theorem. Subsequent improvements have been obtained by Montgomery [4], who shows that the left-hand side above is

$$Qx \log x + O(Qx \log(2x/Q)) + O\left(x^2 (\log x)^{-A}\right)$$

STEVE FAN

in the stated range, and by Hooley [2], who derives the following asymptotic formula for the left-hand side above with an explicit second-order term:

$$Qx \log Q - cQX + O\left(Q^{5/4}x^{3/4} + x^2(\log x)^{-A}\right)$$

for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. In this short note we present a simple proof of the following folklore version for the Möbius function $\mu(n)$ (for instance, see [3, Theorem 2]).

Theorem. Fixing an arbitrary A > 0 we have

$$\sum_{q \le Q} \sum_{a=1}^{q} |M(x;q,a)|^2 = \frac{6}{\pi^2} xQ + O\left(x^2 (\log x)^{-A}\right)$$

uniformly for all $x(\log x)^{-A} \le Q \le x$, where

$$M(x;q,a) := \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ n \equiv a \, (\text{mod } q)}} \mu(n).$$

Proof. Set $Q_0 := x(\log x)^{-A}$. Applying the arithmetic large sieve [5, Theorem 4.13] to the sequence

$$a_n := \begin{cases} \mu(n) & \text{if } n \le x \text{ with } n \equiv a \pmod{q}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we have

$$|M(x;q,a)|^2 \le \frac{x+q^2}{L_q} \sum_{\substack{n\le x \ n\equiv a \,(\mathrm{mod}\,q)}} \mu(n)^2,$$

where

$$L_q := \sum_{m \le q} \mu(m)^2 \prod_{p \mid m} \frac{w(p)}{p - w(p)}$$

and

$$w(p) := \#\{h \in \mathbb{F}_p \colon a_n = 0 \text{ for all } n \le x \text{ with } n \equiv a \pmod{q} \text{ and } n \equiv h \pmod{p}\} < p.$$

Since w(p) = p - 1 for all $p \mid q$, it follows that

$$L_q \ge \sum_{m \le q} \mu(m)^2 \prod_{p|m, p|q} (p-1) = \sum_{m \le q} \mu(m)^2 \varphi((m, q)) \ge \sum_{m \le q} \mu(m)^2 \gg q,$$

where φ is the Euler totient function. Hence

$$\sum_{q \le Q_0} \sum_{a=1}^q |M(x;q,a)|^2 \ll \sum_{q \le Q_0} \frac{x+q^2}{q} \sum_{n \le q} \mu(n)^2 \ll \left(x \log Q_0 + Q_0^2\right) x \ll x^2 (\log x)^{-A}.$$
 (1)

On the other hand, we write

$$\sum_{Q_0 < q \le Q} \sum_{a=1}^{q} |M(x;q,a)|^2 = (\lfloor Q \rfloor - \lfloor Q_0 \rfloor) \sum_{n \le x} \mu(n)^2 + 2 \sum_{\substack{Q_0 < q \le Q}} \sum_{\substack{m < n \le x \\ m \equiv n \, (\text{mod} \, q)}} \mu(m) \mu(n).$$
(2)

Note that

$$\sum_{Q_0 < q \le Q} \sum_{\substack{m < n \le x \\ m \equiv n \, (\text{mod } q)}} \mu(m)\mu(n) = \sum_{r < x/Q_0} \sum_{m < x - Q_0 r} \mu(m) \sum_{\substack{m + Q_0 r < n \le \min(x, m + Qr) \\ n \equiv m \, (\text{mod } r)}} \mu(n).$$
(3)

Now we appeal to the following version of the Siegel-Walfisz theorem: for any fixed B > 0 we have

$$M(x;q,a) \ll x \exp(-c(B)\sqrt{\log x})$$

uniformly for all $q \leq (\log x)^B$ and $1 \leq a \leq q$, where c(B) > 0 depends only on B. Since $x/Q_0 = (\log x)^A$, the right-hand side of (3) is

$$\ll x \exp(-c(A)\sqrt{\log x}) \sum_{r < x/Q_0} \sum_{m < x - Q_0 r} |\mu(m)|$$
$$\ll x (\log x)^A \exp(-c(A)\sqrt{\log x}) \sum_{n \le x} |\mu(m)|$$
$$\ll x^2 (\log x)^{-A}.$$

Inserting this estimate into (2) we obtain

$$\sum_{Q_0 < q \le Q} \sum_{a=1}^{q} |M(x;q,a)|^2 = \frac{6}{\pi^2} xQ + O\left(x^2 (\log x)^{-A}\right).$$

Combining this with (1) yields the desired asymptotic formula.

References

- H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, 3rd. ed., Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 74, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. Revised and with a preface by H.L. Montgomery.
- [2] C. Hooley, On the Barban-Davenport-Halberstam theorem I, J. Reine Angew. Math., 274/5 (1975), 206-223.
- [3] C. Hooley, On the Barban-Davenport-Halberstam theorem III, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 10 (2) (1975), 249-256.
- [4] H.L. Montgomery, Primes in arithmetic progressions, Michigan Math. J., 17 (1970), 33-39.
- [5] G. Tenenbaum, Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory, 3rd. ed., Grad. Stud. Math., vol. 163, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI., 2015.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, HANOVER, NH 03755, USA *Email address:* steve.fan.gr@dartmouth.edu